UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA: NORFOLK DIVISION

-----X

IN THE MATTER OF COEYMANS MARINE TOWING, LLC D/B/A CARVER MARINE TOWING AS OWNER AND OPERATOR OF M/T MACKENZIE ROSE, (IMO NO. 8968765), HER CARGO, ENGINES, BOILERS, TACKLE, EQUIPMENT, APPAREL, AND APPURTENCES, ETC., IN REM ("M/T MACKENZIE ROSE") PETITIONING FOR EXONERATION FROM OR LIMITATION OF LIABILITY IN ALLISION WITH NORFOLK AND PORTSMOUTH BELT LINE RAILROAD COMPANY MAIN LINE RAILROAD BRIDGE (THE "BRIDGE") OCCURING JUNE 15, 2024 IN AND ABOUT THE ELIZABETH RIVER, VIRGINIA

Case No.: 2:24-CV00490

In Admiralty

# ANSWER OF COEYMANS MARINE TOWING, LLC d/b/a CARVER MARINE TOWING, AS OWNER OF THE VESSEL, M/T MACKENZIE ROSE, TO THE CLAIM OF NORFOLK AND PORTSMOUTH BELT LINE RAILROAD COMPANY (HEREINAFTER "BELT LINE")

COEYMANS MARINE TOWING, LLC d/b/a CARVER MARINE TOWING, as owner of the vessel, M/T MACKENZIE ROSE (hereinafter "Petitioner"), by its attorneys, CLYDE & CO US LLP, answers the Claim of Belt Line as follows:

#### NATURE OF THE CLAIM

1. Petitioner denies each and every allegation contained in paragraph "1" of the Claim.

#### **FACTS**

- 2. Petitioner denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph "2" of the Claim, and refers all questions of law to this Honorable Court.
  - 3. Petitioner admits to the allegations contained in Paragraph "3" of the Claim.

- 4. Petitioner denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph "4 of the Claim."
- 5. Petitioner denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph "5 of the Claim."
- 6. Petitioner denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph "6" of the Claim.

## FACTUAL BACKGROUND

- 7. Petitioner denies each and every allegation contained in paragraphs "7" of the Claim.
- 8. Petitioner denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph "8" of the Claim.
- 9. Petitioner denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph "9" of the Claim.
- 10. Petitioner denies each and every allegation contained in paragraph "10" of the Claim.
- 11. Petitioner denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph "11" of the Claim.
- 12. Petitioner denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph "12" of the Claim, and refers all questions of law to this Honorable Court.
- 13. Petitioner denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph "13" of the Claim.

- 14. Petitioner denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph "14" of the Claim, and refers all questions of law to this Honorable Court.
- 15. Petitioner denies each and every allegation contained in paragraph "15" of the Claim.
- 16. Petitioner denies each and every allegation contained in paragraph "16" of the Claim.
- 17. Petitioner denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph "17" of the Claim, and refers all questions of law to this Honorable Court.
- 18. Petitioner denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph "18" of the Claim, and refers all questions of law to this Honorable Court.
- 19. Petitioner denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph "19" of the Claim, and refers all questions of law to this Honorable Court.
- 20. Petitioner denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph "20" of the Claim, and refers all questions of law to this Honorable Court.
- 21. Petitioner denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph "21" of the Claim.
  - a. Petitioner denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph "21(a)" of the Claim.
  - b. Petitioner denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph "21(b)" of the Claim.
  - c. Petitioner denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph "21(c)" of the Claim.

- d. Petitioner denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph "21(d)" of the Claim.
- e. Petitioner denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph "21(e)" of the Claim.
- f. Petitioner denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph "21(f)" of the Claim.
- g. Petitioner denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph "21(g) of the Claim.
- h. Petitioner denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph "21(h)" of the Claim.
- Petitioner denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph "21(i)" of the Claim.
- Petitioner denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph "21(j)" of the Claim.
- k. Petitioner denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph "21(k)" of the Claim.
- Petitioner denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph "21(l)" of the Claim.
- m. Petitioner denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph "21(m)" of the Claim.
- n. Petitioner denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph "21(n)" of the Claim.
- o. Petitioner denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph "21(o)" of the Claim.

- 22. Petitioner denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph "22" of the Claim.
- 23. Petitioner denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph "23" of the Claim.
- 24. Petitioner denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph "24" of the Claim.
- 25. Petitioner denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph "25" of the Claim.
- 26. Petitioner denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph "26" of the Claim, and refers all questions of law to this Honorable Court.
- 27. Petitioner denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph "27" of the Claim, and refers all questions of law to this Honorable Court.
- 28. Petitioner denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph "28" of the Claim.
- 29. Petitioner denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph "29" of the Claim.
- 30. Petitioner denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph "30" of the Claim.
- 31. Petitioner denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph "31" of the Claim, and refers all questions of law to this Honorable Court.

32. Petitioner denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph "32" of the Claim, and refers all questions of law to this Honorable Court.

## **AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES**

Petitioner, as Owner of the Vessel M/T Mackenzie Rose, by its attorneys, Clyde & Co., as to its Affirmative Defenses to the claims of Belt Line avers as follows:

## **FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

Belt Line's Claim is barred in whole or in part, on the grounds that Belt Line fails to state a claim or cause of action against Petitioner upon which relief can be granted.

## SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Petitioner's alleged actions or omissions did not give rise to any duty owed to Belt Line or its subrogee, by Petitioner.

## THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Belt Line's damages, if any, were the direct and proximate result of the acts of negligence or intentional acts of other persons or entities not under the control of Petitioner and for whom Petitioner cannot be held liable.

## **FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

At all times referred to in Belt Line's Claim, Vessel M/T Mackenzie Rose was in a seaworthy condition.

## FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

At all times referred to in Belt Line's Claim, Petitioner took reasonable precautions to protect property and persons from injury, loss or damage.

## **SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

Belt Line's damages, if any, were caused by a new, independent, and/or intervening and/or superseding cause, and were not caused by Petitioner, thereby barring recovery from Petitioner.

## **SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

Belt Line failed to take such reasonable measures so as to mitigate and reduce the losses and damages, if any, as alleged in Belt Line's Claim.

# EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Belt Line failed to comply with all conditions precedent.

## **NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

The alleged defects to Petitioner's vessel and equipment, if any, were latent and undetectable even in the exercise of due care.

## **TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

Petitioner denies that it acted negligently with regard to Belt Line and denies that it is liable to Belt Line.

## **ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

Petitioner did not engage in any acts or omissions which were a proximate cause of the alleged damages of which Belt Line complains.

## TWELFTH AFFIRMATVIE DEFENSE

Belt Line's Claim is barred by General Maritime Law.

## **THIRTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

Petitioner is entitled to limit its liability in accordance with maritime statutory and common law, including, but not limited to, Supplemental Rules for Certain Admiralty and Maritime Claims Rule F and 46 U.S.C. §30501, *et seq.* 

# FOURTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Belt Line's Claim is barred, in whole or in part, by the doctrine of estoppel.

## FIFTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Belt Line's Claim is barred, in whole or in part, by the doctrine of waiver.

## SIXTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Belt Line's Claim is barred, in whole or in part, to the extent that Belt Line and/or others failed to preserve evidence.

## **SEVENTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

Petitioner had no prior notice of any defective or hazardous condition which allegedly caused damage to Belt Line.

## **EIGHTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

Petitioner complied with all applicable laws, regulations, standards, and the available knowledge and technology of the marine industry.

## **NINETEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

Belt Line's Claim, in whole or in part, is barred by the applicable Statute of Limitations.

## TWENTIETH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Petitioner breached no duty, if any, to Belt Line under common law or general maritime law of negligence.

# TWENTY-FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Petitioner had no privity or knowledge of any negligent acts or omissions alleged by Belt Line, nor of any alleged unseaworthiness of the vessel M/T Mackenzie Rose.

#### WHEREFORE, COEYMANS MARINE TOWING, LLC d/b/a CARVER MARINE

TOWING, as owner of M/T Mackenzie Rose, prays that the Claim of Belt Line be dismissed in its entirety, and for such other and further relief as this Honorable Court deems just and proper.

Dated: New York, NY

December 18, 2024

Respectfully submitted,

CLYDE & CO US LLP

By: /s/ Harold L. Cohen

Harold L. Cohen. Esq. (98148) Clyde & Co US LLP Attorneys for Petitioner 1221 Brickell Ave #1600 Miami, FL 33131

James H. Rodgers, Esq. (JR-4798) Pro Hac Vice Clyde & Co US LLP The Chrysler Building 405 Lexington Avenue, 16<sup>th</sup> Floor New York, NY 10174

cc: Mark C. Nanavati, Esq. (BSB #38709)
G. Christopher Jones, Jr., Esq. (VSB #82260)
SINNOT, NUCKOLS & LOGAN, P.C.
13811 Village Mill Drive
Midlothian, Virginia 23114
(804) 893-3866 (Nanavati)
(804) 893-3862 (Jones)
(804) 378-2610 (Facsimile)
mnanavati@snllaw.com
cjones@snllaw.com
Counsel for Evanston Insurance Company,
s/s/o Norfolk and Portsmouth Belt Line
Railroad Company

Zachary M. Jett, Esq. (VSB #93285)
BUTLER WEIHMULLER KATZ CRAIG LLP
11525 North Community House Road, Suite 300
Charlotte, North Carolina 28277
(704) 543-2321 (Telephone)
(704) 543-2324 (Facsimile)
zjett@butler.legal
Counsel for Evanston Insurance Company,
s/s/o Norfolk and Portsmouth Belt Line
Railroad Company

James L. Chapman, IV, VSB No. 21983
W. Ryan Snow, VSB No. 47423
Mackenzie R. Pensyl VSB No. 100012
CRENSHAW, WARE & MARTIN, P.L.C.
150 W. Main Street, Suite 1923
Norfolk, Virginia 23510
Telephone (757) 623-3000
Facsimile: (757) 623-5735
jchapman@cwm-law.com
wrsnow@cwm-law.com
mpensyl@cwm-law.com

Counsel for Norfolk and Portsmouth Belt Line Railroad Company

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 18th day of December 2024, I electronically filed the foregoing using the CM/ECP system, which will send notification of such filing of all counsel of record.

By: /s/ Harold L. Cohen

Harold L. Cohen. Esq. (98148) Clyde & Co US LLP Attorneys for Petitioner 1221 Brickell Ave #1600 Miami, FL 33131